### AUDITING DECCEDURES DEPORT

Issued under P.A. 2 of 1968, as amended. Filing is mandatory.  Local Government Type:		Local Government Name:			County		
☐ City ☐ Township ☐ Village ☐ Other	District Court No. 18 o	District Court No. 18 of Westland, Michigan			Wayne		
I ·	nion Date	ı Date D		ate Accountant Report Submitted To State:			
	otember 28, 2005		December 29, 2005				
We have audited the financial statements of the with the Statements of the Governmental Acc Counties and Local Units of Government in M.	counting Standards Board (GA	ASB) and the <i>Uni</i>	iform Reporting I				
We affirm that:  1. We have complied with the <i>Bulletin for the</i> 2. We are certified public accountants regist		ernment in Michig	gan as revised.				
We further affirm the following. "Yes" responsand recommendations.	ses have been disclosed in the	financial stateme	ents, including the	notes, or in th	e report of comments		
<ul><li>yes</li><li>no</li><li>there are accumulate</li><li>yes</li><li>no</li><li>there are instances o</li><li>yes</li><li>no</li><li>the local unit has violate</li></ul>	nits/funds/agencies of the local ad deficits in one or more of this of non-compliance with the Unitated ated the conditions of either an	unit's unreserved form Accounting order issued unde	d fund balances/re and Budgeting A	etained earnin ct (P.A. 2 of 1	gs (P.A. 275 of 1980). 968, as amended).		
☐ yes ☐ no 5. The local unit holds de	order issued under the Emergency Municipal Loan Act. The local unit holds deposits/investments which do not comply with statutory requirements. (P.A. 20 of 1943, as amended [MCL 129.91] or P.A. 55 of 1982, as amended [MCL 38.1132])						
yes ⋈ no 6. The local unit has been yes ⋈ no 7. The local unit has viola (normal costs) in the o	en delinquent in distributing tax ated the Constitutional requiren current year. If the plan is mo ent, no contributions are due (p	revenues that w nent (Article 9, Se re than 100% ful	ection 24) to fund on the over	current year ea	arned pension benefits		
☐ yes ☐ no 8. The local unit uses cre	edit cards and has not adopted adopted an investment policy	an applicable po	olicy as required b				
We have enclosed the following:			Enclosed	To Be Forwarde	Not d Required		
The letter of comments and recommendation	ns.				$\boxtimes$		
	Reports on individual federal assistance programs (program audits).				$\boxtimes$		
Single Audit Reports (ASLGU).					$\boxtimes$		
Certified Public Accountant (Firm Name):	Plante & Morar	ı, PLLC					
Street Address 1000 Oakbrook Dr., Suite 400	City Ann Arbor			State MI	ZIP 48104		
Accountant Signature		- 1					
Plante & Moran, PLLC							

Financial Report
with Supplemental Information
June 30, 2005

	Content
Report Letter	ĺ
Basic Financial Statements	
Balance Sheet	2
Notes to Balance Sheet	3
Supplemental Information	4
Schedule of Cash Receipts and Disbursements	5





Suite 40C 1000 Oakbrook Drive Ann Arbor, MI 48104 Tel: 734.665.9494 Fax: 734.665.0664 plantemoran.com

#### Independent Auditor's Report

To the District Judges Gail McKnight and C. Charles Bokos District Court No. 18 Westland, Michigan

We have audited the accompanying balance sheet of the District Court Funds of District No. 18 (a component unit of the City of Westland, Michigan) as of June 30, 2005, as listed in the table of contents. This financial statement is the responsibility of the Court's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the District Court Funds of District No. 18, Westland, Michigan at June 30, 2005, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the balance sheet. The accompanying supplemental information, as identified in the table of contents, is presented for the purpose of additional analysis, and is not a required part of the basic financial statement. The supplemental information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statement and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statement taken as a whole.

The accompanying financial statement does not present a management's discussion and analysis, which would be an analysis of the financial performance for the year. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board has determined that this analysis is necessary to supplement, although not required to be a part of, the basic financial statement.

I

Plante + Moran, PLLC

September 28, 2005



#### Balance Sheet June 30, 2005

	Court General Account Account		Constable Account		Total			
Assets - Cash (Note 2)	<u>\$</u>	441,083	<u>\$</u>	401,269	\$	10,726	<u>\$</u>	853,078
Liabilities								
Due to City of Westland	\$	340,089	\$	_	\$	_	\$	340,089
Due to State of Michigan		97,053		-		-		97,053
Refundable bonds and other		3,941		401,269		10,726		415,936
Total liabilities	\$	441,083	\$	401,269	\$	10,726	\$	853,078

Notes to Balance Sheet June 30, 2005

#### **Note I - Significant Accounting Policies**

District Court No. 18 (the "District Court") is a component unit of the City of Westland (the "City") and is reported in the City's basic financial statements. The accounting policies of the District Court conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as applicable to governmental units. The funds of the District Court are Agency Funds. The financial activities are limited to collection of amounts that are subsequently returned or paid to third parties. The funds are custodial in nature (assets equal liabilities) and do not involve the measurement of results of operations.

#### Note 2 - Cash

Michigan Compiled Laws, Section 129.91, authorizes local governmental units to make deposits and invest in the accounts of federally insured banks, credit unions, and savings and loan associations that have offices in Michigan. The District Court is allowed to invest in bonds, securities, and other direct obligations of the United States or any agency or instrumentality of the United States; repurchase agreements; bankers' acceptance of United States banks; commercial paper rated within the two highest classifications which matures not more than 270 days after the date of purchase; obligations of the State of Michigan or its political subdivisions, which are rated as investment grade; and mutual funds composed of investment vehicles that are legal for direct investment by local units of government in Michigan.

The District Court has designated one bank for the deposit of District Court funds. The investment policy adopted by the City Council in accordance with Public Act 196 of 1997 has authorized investment in bonds and securities of the United States government, bank accounts and CDs, and such obligations, bonds, and securities as permitted by the statutes of the State of Michigan.

Custodial Credit Risk of Bank Deposits - Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of bank failure, the District Court's deposits may not be returned to it. The District Court does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk. At year end, the District Court had \$690,033 of bank deposits (checking accounts) that were uninsured and uncollateralized. The District Court believes that due to the dollar amounts of cash deposits and the limits of FDIC insurance, it is impractical to insure all deposits. As a result, the District Court evaluates each financial institution with which it deposits funds and assesses the level of risk of each institution; only those institutions with an acceptable estimated risk level are used as depositories.



### Schedule of Cash Receipts and Disbursements Year Ended June 30, 2005

	Court General Account Account		Constable Account	Total
<b>Cash</b> - July 1, 2004	\$ 364,861	\$ 336,508	\$ 9,118	\$ 710,487
Receipts				
Fines and fees collected	4,702,612	-	-	4,702,612
Bond receipts	-	1,327,636	-	1,327,636
Bond forfeitures	189,935	-	-	189,935
Restitutions	-	97,308	-	97,308
Garnishments, escrows, and other	-	125,316	-	125,316
Receipts for other District Court accounts	141,406	-	-	141,406
Transfers from other District Court accounts			141,477	141,477
Total receipts	5,033,953	1,550,260	141,477	6,725,690
Disbursements				
Transfers to District Control Unit	3,750,334	-	-	3,750,334
State of Michigan	1,023,440	-	-	1,023,440
Wayne County, Michigan	42,480	-	-	42,480
Constable fees	-	-	139,869	139,869
Bond refunds, forfeitures, and transfers	-	1,268,585	-	1,268,585
Restitutions	-	96,032	-	96,032
Garnishments, escrows, and other	-	120,882	-	120,882
Transfers to other District Court accounts	141,477			141,477
Total disbursements	4,957,731	1,485,499	139,869	6,583,099
<b>Cash</b> - June 30, 2005	\$ 441,083	\$ 401,269	\$10,726	\$ 853,078